



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,443	04/17/2001	Yoshinobu Shiraiwa	35.C15299	5474	
5514	7590 07/24/2003				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	le/				
Applicant(s)					
SHIRAIWA, YOSHII	NOBU.				
Art Unit					
2854					
orrespondence add	ress				
TION FOR ALLOWANCE. plication. A proper reply to a vhich places the application in imely filed Request for Continued					
forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In nailing date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action; or emailing date of the final rejection, even if					
eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
•					
naterially reducing or simplifying the					
nally rejected claim ne prior art.	s.				
eparate, timely filed	amendment				
dered but does NO	T place the				
LY to issues which were newly					
will be entered a w or appended.	and an				
	SHIRAIWA, YOSHII  Art Unit 2854  Orrespondence add  N FOR ALLOWANG Ation. A proper repl in places the applicate by filed Request for 6  If FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriate of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriate of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriate of the final rejection of the final rejection of the appeal.  See NOTE below);  rially reducing or simple prior art.  Exparate, timely filed dered but does NO  o issues which were  will be entered as				

		-				
<i>*</i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
r A	Advisory Action	09/835,443	SHIRAIWA, YOSHINOBU.			
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dan Colilla	2854			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
Therefor final rejection	PLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THITE, further action by the applicant is required to avection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
Extenfee have bfee under (2) as set	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of this $R$ no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Is sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or		
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI					
	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		арроси.			
_	they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTF helow):			
_	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,,,			
(c) [	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	rially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) [	they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.		
	NOTE: the new limitations added to claims 1 and	d 5 have not been searched for in th	ne prior art.			
3. 🗌 A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
	ne a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for pplication in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the		
	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
Th	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•		
С	claim(s) allowed:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4-6, 8-11 and 14-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Dan Colilla